

1  
2  
3  
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 VANCAMP SEATA VAI, *et al.*, No. C-13-0294 EMC  
9 Plaintiffs,  
10 v.  
11 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., *et al.*, **ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR SUM-  
JUDGMENT; AND  
CAUSE**  
12 Defendants. **(Docket No. 49)**

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT; AND ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE**

15 Plaintiffs have filed a statement of nonopposition to Defendants Wells Fargo and Golden  
16 West's motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Wells Fargo and  
17 Golden West's motion and dismisses them with prejudice from the case.

18 This leaves as the only remaining defendant in the case Cal-Western. In July 2013, Cal-  
19 Western filed a notice stating that it had filed a bankruptcy petition, and thus this litigation as to it  
20 should be stayed. *See* Docket No. 42 (notice). No party contested Cal-Western's position.  
21 However, it appears that that bankruptcy proceeding has now closed.

Given the apparent closure of the bankruptcy case and Plaintiffs' concession that they have no viable claims against the main defendants in the case, the Court now orders Plaintiffs to show cause as to why any claims against Cal-Western should not be dismissed, either with or without prejudice.

26    ///  
27    ///  
28    ///

1 Plaintiffs' response shall be filed within one week from the date of this order. Cal-Western  
2 may file a reply to the response within two weeks from the date of this order.

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5

6 Dated: February 4, 2014

7

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28